

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/932,693	08/16/2001	Christopher M. Tobin	080398.P402	5745
8791	7590 06/11/2004		EXAMINER	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			WINTER, JOHN M	
	12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		3621	
			DATE MAILED: 06/11/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)			
Offic Action Summany	09/932,693	TOBIN ET AL.			
Offic Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John M Winter	3621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Peri d for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status .					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Au	<u>igust 2001</u> .				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disp sition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		·			
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign (a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).			
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Int rview Summary (PTO-413) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>5</u> August 2002	5) Notice of Informal Pa				

Art Unit: 3621

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-26 have been examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogilvie et al (WO 01/031545) in view of Johnson (WO 01/53971 A1).

As per claim 1,

Ogilvie et al ('545) discloses a computerized method to monitor and manage delivery of a package to a user comprising:

receiving a redirection request for the package from the user after completion of an order for items to be included in the package, the redirection request specifying a package identifier for the package and a new delivery location; (Page 3, lines 13-24)

Ogilvie et al ('545) does not explicitly disclose "transmitting the package identifier and the new delivery location to an entity responsible for the package", Johnson et al.('971) discloses "transmitting the package identifier and the new delivery location to an entity responsible for the package".(Page 12, lines 15-18) It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Ogilvie et al ('545) method with the Johnson et al.('971) method in order to allow the user to securely send articles to a third party address..

As per claim 2,

Ogilvie et al ('545) discloses the computerized method of claim 1, further comprising: sending a delivery notification for the package to the user.(Page 5, lines 13-15)

As per claim 3,

Ogilvie et al ('545) discloses the computerized method of claim 1, further comprising: receiving a status request specifying the package identifier from the user; (Figure 1B) transmitting delivery information for the package associated with the package identifier to the user. (Page 14, line 32 – page 15 lines 1-5)

As per claim 4,

Ogilvie et al ('545) discloses the computerized method of claim 1,

Art Unit: 3621

wherein the entity responsible for the package is a vendor of an item included in the

As per claim 5,

package.(Figure 1A-2)

Ogilvie et al ('545) discloses the computerized method of claim 1, wherein the entity responsible for the package is a distribution network. (Figure 1A-2)

Page 3

As per claim 6

Ogilvie et al ('545) discloses the computerized method of claim 1, further comprising: generating an authentication code that releases the package from the new delivery location when the new delivery location is a secure delivery location; associating the authentication code with the package identifier, communicating the authentication code to the secure delivery location; and transmitting the authentication code to the user. (Page 9, lines 23-31)

As per claim 7

Ogilvie et al ('545) discloses the computerized method of claim 6, wherein communicating the authentication code to the secure delivery location comprises: transmitting the authentication code directly to the secure delivery location. (Page 9, lines 23-31)

As per claim 8

Ogilvie et al ('545) discloses the computerized method of claim 6; wherein communicating the authentication code to the secure delivery location comprises: transmitting the authentication code to the entity responsible for the package for subsequent transmission to the secure delivery location.(Page 8, lines 10-16)

As per claim 9

Ogilvie et al ('545) discloses the computerized method of claim 6;

Official Notice is taken that "transmitting the authentication code to the user causes the authentication code to be stored in a user device that communicates the authentication code to the secure delivery location to release the package" is common and well known in prior art in reference to secured transactions. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to transmit a authentication code to a device that subsequently stored the code in order to prevent fraudulent usage of the delivery system.

As per claim 10

Ogilvie et al ('545) discloses the computerized method of claim 1; wherein the redirection request is received from a user device.(Figure 1A-1)

As per claim 11

Ogilvie et al ('545) discloses the computerized method of claim 10 further comprising:

Art Unit: 3621

associating a device identifier for the user device with the package identifier to relate the user and the package without revealing personal information for the user. (Page 8, lines 20-26)

As per claim 12

Ogilvie et al ('545) discloses the computerized method claim 11,

Ogilvie et al ('545) does not explicitly disclose "wherein the user device is selected from the group consisting of a privacy card, a digital wallet, apd a privacy card coupled to a digital wallet", Johnson et al.('971) discloses "wherein the user device is selected from the group consisting of a privacy card, a digital wallet, apd a privacy card coupled to a digital wallet.".(Page 12, lines 19-22) It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Ogilvie et al ('545) method with the Johnson et al.('971) method in order to protect the users identity.

As per claim 13

Ogilvie et al ('545) discloses the computerized method claim 11,

Official Notice is taken that "verifying the device identifier through a transaction privacy clearing house to validate the user" is common and well known in prior art in reference to secured transactions. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to validate the user via authentication of a devices ID in order to prevent fraudulent usage of the delivery system.

As per claim 14,

Ogilvie et al ('545) discloses a machine-readable medium having executable instructions to cause a machine to perform a method comprising:

receiving a redirection request for a package from a user after completion of an order for an item to be included in the package, the redirection request specifying a package identifier for the package and a new delivery location; (Page 3, lines 13-24)

Ogilvie et al ('545) does not explicitly disclose "transmitting the package identifier and the new delivery location to an entity responsible for the package", Johnson et al.('971) discloses "transmitting the package identifier and the new delivery location to an entity responsible for the package".(Page 12, lines 15-18) It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Ogilvie et al ('545) method with the Johnson et al.('971) method in order to allow the user to securely send articles to a third party address..

As per claim 15,

Ogilvie et al ('545) discloses the machine-readable medium of claim 14, wherein the method further comprises:

sending a delivery notification for the package to the user.(Page 5, lines 13-15)

As per claim 16,

Ogilvie et al ('545) discloses the machine-readable medium of claim 14, wherein the method further comprises:

receiving a status request specifying the package identifier from the user; (Figure 1B)

Art Unit: 3621

transmitting delivery information for the package associated with the package identifier to the user. (Page 14, line 32 – page 15 lines 1-5)

As per claim 17

Ogilvie et al ('545) discloses the machine-readable medium of claim 14, wherein the method further comprises:

Page 5

generating an authentication code that releases the package from the new delivery location when the new delivery location is a secure delivery location; associating the authentication code with the package identifier, communicating the authentication code to the secure delivery location; and transmitting the authentication code to the user. (Page 9, lines 23-31)

As per claim 18

Ogilvie et al ('545) discloses the machine-readable medium of claim 14, wherein the method further comprises:

associating a device identifier for a user device with the package identifier to relate the user and the package without revealing personal information for the user when the redirection request is received from the user device. (Page 8, lines 20-26)

As per claim 19

Ogilvie et al ('545) discloses the machine-readable medium of claim 18,

Official Notice is taken that "verifying the device identifier through a transaction privacy clearing house to validate the user" is common and well known in prior art in reference to secured transactions. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to validate the user via authentication of a devices ID in order to prevent fraudulent usage of the delivery system.

As per claim 20,

Ogilvie et al ('545) discloses a computer system comprising:

a processing unit; a memory coupled to the processing unit through a bus; and a package delivery monitoring and management process executed from the memory by the processing unit to cause the processing unit to receive a redirection request for a package from a user after completion of an order for an item to be included in the package (Page 3, lines 13-24)

Ogilvie et al ('545) does not explicitly disclose "transmit a package identifier and a new delivery location specified in the redirection request to an entity responsible for the package", Johnson et al.('971) discloses "transmit a package identifier and a new delivery location specified in the redirection request to an entity responsible for the package".(Page 12, lines 15-18) It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Ogilvie et al ('545) method with the Johnson et al.('971) method in order to allow the user to securely send articles to a third party address..

As per claim 21,

Ogilvie et al ('545) discloses the computer system of claim 20,

Art Unit: 3621

y ,

wherein the process further causes the processing unit to send a delivery notification for the package to the user. (Page 5, lines 13-15).

As per claim 22,

Ogilvie et al ('545) discloses the computer system of claim 20,

wherein the process further causes the processing unit to receive a status request specifying the package identifier from the user (Figure 1B)

to transmit delivery information for the package associated with the package identifier to the user. (Page 14, line 32 – page 15 lines 1-5)

As per claim 23

Ogilvie et al ('545) discloses the computer system of claim 20,

wherein the process further causes the processing unit to generate an authentication code that releases the package from the new delivery location when the new delivery location is a secure delivery location, to associate the authentication code with the package identifier, to communicate the authentication code to the secure delivery location and to transmit the authentication code to the user. (Page 9, lines 23-31)

As per claim 24

Ogilvie et al ('545) discloses the computer system of claim 20,

wherein the process further causes the processing unit to associate a device identifier for a user device with the package identifier to relate the user and the package without revealing personal information for the user when the redirection request is received from the user device. (Page 8, lines 20-26)

As per claim 25

Ogilvie et al ('545) discloses the computer system of claim 24,

Official Notice is taken that "verifying the device identifier through a transaction privacy clearing house to validate the user" is common and well known in prior art in reference to secured transactions. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to validate the user via authentication of a devices ID in order to prevent fraudulent usage of the delivery system.

As per claim 26,

Ogilvie et al ('545) discloses a package delivery monitoring and management system comprising:

functional means coupled to the communication means to provide delivery information for a package to a user, to associate a new delivery location with a package in response to a request from a user, (Page 3, lines 13-24)

to associate an authentication code with a package that releases the package from a secure delivery location; and storage means coupled to the functional means to provide delivery information for packages. (Figure 1B)

Ogilvie et al ('545) does not explicitly disclose "communication means to communicate between a plurality of users, vendors, and distribution networks", Johnson et al.('971) discloses

Art Unit: 3621

"communication means to communicate between a plurality of users, vendors, and distribution networks". (Page 12, lines 15-18) It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Ogilvie et al ('545) method with the Johnson et al. ('971) method in order to allow the user to receive notification that the package has arrived.

Conclusion

Examiners note: Examiner has cited particular columns and line numbers in the references as applied to the claims above for the convenience of the applicant. Although the specified citations are representative of the teachings of the art and are applied to the specific limitations within the individual claim, other passages and figures may apply as well. It is respectfully requested from the applicant in preparing responses, to fully consider the references in entirety as potentially teaching all or part of the claimed invention, as well as the context of the passage as taught by the prior art or disclosed by the examiner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John M Winter whose telephone number is (703) 305-3971. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6, 1st Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James P Trammell can be reached on (703)305-9768. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular communications and (703) 305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

JMW May 30, 2004

SUPERVISORY PROFES EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3300